

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/724,702	12/02/2003	Shun-Yuan Tsai		1981
7590 06/02/2006			EXAMINER	
Bruce H Troxell			DANIELS, MATTHEW J	
Troxell Law Office PLLC 5205 Leesburg Pike			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1404			1732	
Falls Church, V	'A 22041		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	
1	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/724,702	TSAI, SHUN-YUAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Daniels	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
<i>;</i> —	, -						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	')☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	TE					

Application/Control Number: 10/724,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. By entry of the amendment filed 14 March 2006, Claims 1-7 were cancelled and new Claims 8-10 were presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Rejections set forth previously under this section are withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 is rejected because it is unclear and indefinite what "refining" (step e) is. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected by dependence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzales (USPN 5252525) in view of Cherdron (USPN 4308088) and Mohri (EP 0656319 A2). Gonzales

Application/Control Number: 10/724,702 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

teaches the well known and conventional aspects of forming filters namely mixing aluminum oxide and at least porous forming agents and binding agents, molding the mixed filter medium, drying, sintering, and cooling the sintered ceramic filter medium (2:39-3:68). Gonzales is silent to the following aspects of the invention:

a) using aluminum residue ash

b and c) the calcination and grinding to a particle size between 250 mesh and 800 mesh

- f) the embryo shape
- i) "finishing" the ceramic filter medium

a) Cherdron clearly suggests that it is desirable to use "scrapings", which are interpreted to be aluminum residue ash, an assertion which Applicant's remarks do not dispute (see Applicant's assessment of Cherdron's method at page 5, lines 14-19).

However, these aspects would have been prima facie obvious for the following reasons:

b and c) Mohri clearly teaches calcination (entire document) and milling (page 7, lines 9-12), which the Examiner interprets to be grinding, to produce a particle size falling within the range produced between 250 and 800 mesh (page 7, lines 25-27), and further that particle size is clearly a result effective variable that the ordinary artisan may optimize (Page 8, lines 16-22).

- f) particular shapes of filters are at the discretion of the artisan, and absent persuasive evidence that particular shapes materially affect the method of making claimed these particular shapes are given little patentable weight.
- i) The Examiner asserts that "finishing" reads on any step of removal, mounting, or machining to make the ceramic element suitable for its intended use as a filter element. The Examiner further

asserts that in order to provide the mounting elements shown in Gonzales' figure, that such finishing has been performed.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the methods of Cherdron and Mohri into that of Gonzales because Gonzales teaches a method of making a filter, and because Mohri clearly suggests that the calcinations method be used in combination with method for forming a filter element (Page 2, line 36, Page 8, lines 5-7, and elsewhere), and because using scrapings or other slag or dross materials as a raw material would reduce the cost of the raw material and the product produced.

As to Claim 9, these calcinations temperatures are conventional for alumina, and are taught at least by Mohri (page 4, lines 34-36). As to Claim 10, carbides and nitrides or alumina are conventional "stabilizers" for calcining alumina, and are taught by Mohri (Page 3, lines 39-43). These "seed crystal" materials nucleate the alpha phase of alumina during the calcination step and act as stabilizers for this phase.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/724,702

Art Unit: 1732

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-2450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJD 5/29/06

HRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER 5/30/04